arXiv:1511.06638v1 [math.CA] 12 Nov 2015

POTENTIAL THEORY ASSOCIATED WITH THE DUNKL LAPLACIAN

KODS HASSINE

Abstract. The main goal of this paper is to give potential theoretical approach to study the Dunkl Laplacian Δ_k which is a standard example of differential-difference operators. By introducing the Green kernel relative to Δ_k, we prove that the Dunkl Laplacian generates a balayage space and we investigate the associated family of harmonic measures. Therefore, by mean of harmonic kernels, we give a characterization of all Δ_k-harmonic functions on large class of open subsets U of $\mathbb{R}^d$. We also establish existence and uniqueness result of a solution of the corresponding Dirichlet problem.

Keywords: Dunkl Laplacian, Balayage space, Green kernel, Harmonic measures, Dirichlet problem.
MSC(2010): Primary: 31B05; Secondary: 31C40, 35J08.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to develop potential theoretic approach to study a differential-difference operator namely the Dunkl laplacian Δ_k. Roughly speaking, the Dunkl Laplacian is a perturbation of the usual Laplacian by term with differences associated with a finite reflection group W and a multiplicity function k. The operator Δ_k was introduced by C.F.Dunkl in [4] in order to construct orthogonal polynomials and spherical harmonics for measure invariant under the action of a finite reflection group. So the study of the Dunkl Laplacian was initially in harmonic analysis. Thereafter, M.Voit and M.Rösler showed in [14] that Δ_k generates a strong Feller semigroup $(P_t^k)_t$ which reduces to the classical Brownian semi-group in the case where the function k is identically vanishing. This fact led several authors to define and develop many Dunkl theoretic concepts probabilistically, by studying the so called Dunkl process(see [14, 3]).

Using the Dunkl semi-group$(P_t^k)_t$, we prove in this paper that Δ_k generates a balayage space. More precisely we introduce the set E_{Δ_k} of all excessive functions relative to $(P_t^k)_t$ and we prove that $\mathbb{R}^d$ together with E_{Δ_k} form a balayage space. Notice that the notion of Balayage spaces provides a potential theory which is as rich as that of harmonic spaces and it covers large classes of linear elliptic and parabolic partial differential operators as well as Riesz potentials, Markov chains on discrete spaces and integro-differential operators. Unlike harmonic spaces, it is well known that in balayage spaces, harmonic measures for an open set U may live on the

entire complement U^c of U instead of being concentrated on the boundary ∂U. For our balayage space $(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{\Delta_k})$ we prove that the associated harmonic measures are with compact support on the complement. Moreover, we establish a correspondence between harmonic measures and Δ_k-harmonic functions (i.e C^2-functions satisfying $\Delta_k u = 0$). This correspondence allows us to investigate the existence of a solution $u \in C^2 \cap C(\overline{U})$ to the Dirichlet probelm

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcll} \Delta_k u & = & 0 & \text{on } U, \\ u & = & f & \text{on } \partial U, \end{array} \right. \tag{1.1}$$

for large class of open sets U and continuous functions f.

The main tool used in our approach is the Green kernel G^k which is defined by the integral of P_t^k with respect to t. Among the important properties of G^k, we shall prove that for every open Borel bounded function f with compact support on $\mathbb{R}^d$ the function $G^k f$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^d$, vanishing at infinity and satisfies $\Delta_k G^k f = -f$ in the distributional sense (see Theorem 3.4). Furthermore we show that for every Borel non negative function f, the function $G^k f$ is excessive. By studying excessive functions, we prove that the couple $(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{\Delta_k})$ is a balayage space. This fact allows us to introduce the corresponding family of harmonic kernel $(H_V)_V$. Combining properties of the Green operator G^k and results known for standard balayage spaces, we prove that the harmonic measure H_V relative to a bounded open set V is concentrated in the closure $\overline{{}^W V}$ of the set

$${}^W V := \bigcup_{w \in W} w(V).$$

In particular, if V is W-invariant (i.e. ${}^W V = V$), then the harmonic measure relative to V is supported by the boundary ∂V. By mean of harmonic measure, we establish in the last section of this paper a characterisation of Δ_k-harmonic functions. More precisely, we prove that a continuous function f on a bounded W-invariant open set V is Δ_k-harmonic on V if and only if $H_U f = f$ for every open set U such that $\overline{U} \subset V$. This characterizations leads to prove that Problem (1.1) admits a unique solution provided U is bounded W-invariant and regular.

The paper is organized as follows: Basic notions and results on Dunkl theory are collected in Section 2. These concern in particular the Dunkl Laplacian, the Dunkl kernel and the Dunkl translation. Section 3 is devoted to the study of the Green kernel G^k. In Section 4, we give a minimum principle which will be used not only to prove the uniqueness but also the existence of a solution to Problem (1.1). In Section 5 we study excessive functions and we prove that $(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{\Delta_k})$ is a balayage space. By introducing the corresponding family of harmonic kernel $(H_V)_V$ we give in Section 6 a characterisation of Δ_k-harmonic functions in W-invariant open sets and we investigate the Dirichlet problem (1.1).

2. Preliminary

For every subset F of $\mathbb{R}^d$, let $\mathcal{B}(F)$ be the set of all Borel measurable functions on F. Let $C(F)$ be the set of all continuous real-valued functions on F. We denote by $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the set of all functions $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} f(x) = 0$. For every open subset U of $\mathbb{R}^d$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U)$ the set of all infinitely differentiable functions on U with compact support. If $\mathcal{G}$ is a set of numerical functions then $\mathcal{G}^+$ (respectively $\mathcal{G}_b$) will denote the class of all functions in $\mathcal{G}$ which are nonnegative (respectively bounded). For every open subset V of $\mathbb{R}^d$, we shall write $U \Subset V$ when U is a bounded open set such that $\overline{U} \subset V$.

For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote by σ_α the reflection in the hyperplane orthogonal to α. It is given by

$$\sigma_\alpha(x) := x - 2\frac{\langle x, \alpha\rangle}{|\alpha|^2}\alpha,$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the usual inner product on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $|\alpha| := \langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle$. Let R be a root system, i.e. a finite subset R of $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ such that $R \cap \mathbb{R}\alpha = \{\pm\alpha\}$.We shall denote by W the finite reflection group generated by $\{\sigma_\alpha, \alpha \in R\}$. Let $k : R \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a multiplicity function, i.e $k(w\alpha) = k(\alpha)$ for all $w \in W$ and $\alpha \in R$. Let V W-invariant open set, that is $w(V) \subset V$ for all $w \in W$. The Dunkl Laplacian Δ_k is given by

$$\Delta_k f(x) = \Delta f(x) + \sum_{\alpha\in R} k(\alpha)\left(\frac{\langle\nabla f(x),\alpha\rangle}{\langle\alpha,x\rangle} - \frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}\frac{f(x) - f(\sigma_\alpha(x))}{\langle\alpha,x\rangle^2}\right), \tag{2.1}$$

where Δ and ∇ denote respectively the usual Laplacian and gradient on $\mathbb{R}^d$. A function $f : V \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be Δ_k-harmonic on V if $f \in C^2(V)$ and $\Delta_k f = 0$ on V. The operator Δ_k has the following symmetry property: For $f \in C^2(V)$ and $\varphi \in C_c^2(V)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_k f(x)\varphi(x)w_k(x)\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\Delta_k\varphi(x)w_k(x)\,dx, \tag{2.2}$$

where w_k is the homogeneous weight function defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$w_k(x) = \prod_{\alpha\in R} |\langle x,\alpha\rangle|^{k(\alpha)}.$$

It was proved in [10] (see also [8]) that the operator Δ_k is hypoelliptic in W-invariant open sets, i.e., if f is a continuous function on a W-invariant open set V and satisfies

$$\int_V f(y)\Delta_k\varphi(y)w_k(y)dy = 0 \text{ for every } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(V)$$

then f is infinitely differentiable on V.

According to [5], there exists a unique linear isomorphism V_k from the space of homogenous polynomials of degree n on $\mathbb{R}^d$ into it self such that $V_k 1 = 1$ and $\Delta_k V_k = V_k\Delta$. By [17] the intertwining operator V_k has an

homeomorphism extension to $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The positivity of V_k (see [12]) yields that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ there exists a unique probability measures μ_x^k which is supported by the convex hull of the orbit of x,

$$C(x) := co\{wx, w \in W\}$$

such that

$$V_k f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) d\mu_x^k(y) \quad \text{for every } f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

For $x = 0$ the measure μ_0^k is the Dirac measure concentrated at 0 which means that $V_k f(0) = f(0)$.

The Dunkl kernel associated with the multiplicity function k is defined on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$E_k(x, y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{\langle y, \xi \rangle} d\mu_x^k(\xi).$$

It is well known that E_k is positive, symmetric and admits a unique holomorphic extension to $\mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^d$ satisfying $E_k(\xi z, w) = E_k(z, \xi w)$ for every $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and every $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$. Further, it was proved in [14] that for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t > 0$ there exists a unique compactly supported probability measure $\sigma_{x,t}^k$ such that

$$E_k(ix, y) j_\lambda(t|y|) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} E_k(i\xi, y) d\sigma_{x,t}^k(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{2.3}$$

where j_λ is the normalized Bessel function given by

$$j_\lambda(z) = \Gamma(\lambda + 1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n z^{2n}}{2^{2n} n! \Gamma(n + \lambda + 1)}.$$

Moreover,

$$\text{supp}\sigma_{x,r}^k \subset \bigcup_{w \in W} \overline{B}(wx, r) \setminus B(0, ||x| - r|).$$

The Dunkl translation is defined for every function $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$\tau_x f(y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V_k^{-1} f(\eta + \xi) d\mu_x^k(\xi) d\mu_y^k(\eta),$$

where V_k^{-1} is the inverse of V_k on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In the particular case where f is in the Schwartz space $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and is radially symmetric (that is there exists a function $F : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f = F(|\cdot|)$) then $\tau_x f$ is given by

$$\tau_x f(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(\sqrt{|x|^2 + |y|^2 + 2\langle x, \xi \rangle}) d\mu_y^k(\xi). \tag{2.4}$$

Notice that for every $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the map $(x, y) \mapsto \tau_x f(y)$ is symmetric, infinitely differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and satisfies

$$\Delta_k \tau_x f = \tau_x \Delta_k f \quad \text{and } \tau_x f(0) = f(0).$$

Further, if the function f is with compact support then $\tau_x f$ is also with compact support. For arbitrary functions $f, g \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it was proved in [15] that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tau_{-x} f(y) g(y) w_k(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) \tau_x g(y) w_k(y) dy. \tag{2.5}$$

Notice that if the multiplicity function k vanishes identically then the Dunkl Laplacian reduces to the classical Laplacian Δ. In this case the measure μ_x^k is the Dirac measure concentrated at x. Then the intertwining operators V_k is the identity operator and so E_k and τ_x reduces to the classical exponential function and translation operator respectively. ThroughoutR this paper we assume that

$$\lambda := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R} k(\alpha) + \frac{d}{2} - 1 > 0.$$

According to [14], for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $r > 0$ and $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\frac{1}{d_k} \int_{S^{d-1}} \tau_x f(ry) w_k(y) d\sigma(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) d\sigma_{x,r}^k(y),$$

where S^{d-1} is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^d$, σ is the surface area measure on S^{d-1}, and d_k is the normalizing constant given by

$$d_k := \int_{S^{d-1}} w_k(y) d\sigma(y).$$

In the sequel we write

$$M_{x,r}(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) d\sigma_{x,r}^k(y),$$

when the integral makes sense. It was shown in [8] that for every locally bounded function g and every radial function $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $f = F(|\cdot|)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tau_{-x} f(y) g(y) w_k(y) dy = d_k \int_0^\infty F(s) s^{2\lambda+1} M_{x,s}(g) ds. \tag{2.6}$$

The following result was also proved in [8].

Proposition 2.1. *Let V be a W-invariant open set and let f be a locally bounded function on V. Then $f \in C^2(V)$ and $\Delta_k f = 0$ on V if and only if $M_{x,t}(f) = f(x)$ for every $x \in V$ and $t > 0$ such that $B(x,t) \Subset V$.*

3. Green kernel

For every Borel measurable function f on $\mathbb{R}^d$ we define

$$P_t^k f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t^k(x,y) f(y) w_k(y) dy \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

provided the integral makes sense. Here,

$$p_t^k(x,y) = \tau_{-x} q_t(y) \quad \text{where} \quad q_t(y) = \frac{2 \exp\left(-\frac{|y|^2}{4t}\right)}{d_k (4t)^{\lambda+1} \Gamma(\lambda+1)}.$$

Obviously p_s^k is symmetric and positive. Moreover, by [12], for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t, s > 0$ we have the following properties

(1) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t^k(x,\xi) w_k(\xi) d\xi = 1$

(2) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t^k(z,x) p_s^k(z,y) w_k(z) dz = p_{t+s}^k(x,y)$

(3) $p_t^k(x,y) \leq \frac{2\exp\left(-\frac{(|x|-|y|)^2}{4t}\right)}{d_k(4t)^{\lambda+1}\Gamma(\lambda+1)}$.

These yield that the family $(P_t^k)_{t>0}$ forms a semi group (i.e. $P_t^k \circ P_s^k = P_{t+s}^k$ for every $t, s > 0$) such that for every $t > 0$, the kernel P_t^k is Markovien (i.e. $P_t^k 1 = 1$) and strong feller (i.e. $P_t^k(\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)) \subset C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$). Furthermore, in virtue of [14],

$$\lim_{t\to 0} ||P_t^k f - f||_\infty = 0 \quad \text{for every } f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

The Green operator G^k will play an important role in our approach. It is defined for every Borel bounded or non negative function f by

$$G^k f(x) = \int_0^\infty P_t^k f(x) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let ϱ be a non negative Borel function on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then for every $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$*
(3.1)

$$P_t^k G^k \varrho(x) = G^k P_t^k \varrho(x) \quad \text{and} \quad G^k \varrho(x) = \int_0^t P_s^k \varrho(x) ds + P_t^k G^k \varrho(x).$$

In particular, $\lim_{t\to\infty} P_t^k G^k \varrho(x) = 0$ *provided* $G^k \varrho(x) < \infty$.

Proof. Let $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
P_t^k G^k \varrho(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G^k \varrho(y) p_t^k(x,y) w_k(y) dy \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P_s^k \varrho(y) p_t^k(x,y) w_k(y) dy ds \\
&= \int_0^\infty P_t^k P_s^k \varrho(x) ds = \int_0^\infty P_s^k P_t^k \varrho(x) ds = G^k P_t^k \varrho(x) \\
&= \int_0^\infty P_{t+s}^k \varrho(x) ds \\
&= \int_t^\infty P_s^k \varrho(x) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Whence

$$\begin{aligned} G^k\varrho(x) &= \int_0^\infty P_s^k\varrho(x)ds \\ &= \int_0^t P_s^k\varrho(x)ds + \int_t^\infty P_s^k\varrho(x)ds \\ &= \int_0^t P_s^k\varrho(x)ds + P_t^k G^k\varrho(x). \end{aligned}$$

□

For every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we define the Green function by

$$G^k(x,y) = \int_0^\infty p_t^k(x,y)dt.$$

Obviously, G^k is symmetric and positive on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

Lemma 3.2. *For every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$*

$$G^k(x,y) \leq \frac{1}{2d_k\lambda}\left(\min_{w\in W}|wy-x|\right)^{-2\lambda}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Using (2.4), we see that

$$G^k(x,y) = \frac{2}{d_k\Gamma(\lambda+1)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(4t)^{\lambda+1}}\exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2+|y|^2-2\langle x,\xi\rangle}{4t}\right)dtd\mu_y^k(\xi).$$

we make the substitution $t \mapsto \frac{|x|^2+|y|^2-2\langle x,\xi\rangle}{4t}$ to obtain,

$$G^k(x,y) = \frac{1}{2d_k\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(|x|^2+|y|^2-2\langle x,\xi\rangle)^{-\lambda}d\mu_y^k(\xi). \tag{3.3}$$

Finally, recall that the support of μ_y^k is contained in $C(y)$ and observe that for every $\xi \in C(y)$,

$$|x|^2+|y|^2-2\langle x,\xi\rangle \geq \min_{w\in W}|wy-x|^2$$

to conclude. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let f be a bounded Borel measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with compact support. Then $G^k f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$*

$$G^k f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G^k(x,y)f(y)w_k(y)dy. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$G^k f(x) = \int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\tau_{-x}q_t(y)f(y)w_k(y)dydt.$$

In order to prove (3.4), we only have to make sure that we may interchange the order of integration. It follows from (2.6) that for every $t > 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\tau_{-x}q_t(y)|f(y)|w_k(y)dy = d_k\int_0^\infty q_t(s)s^{2\lambda+1}M_{x,s}(|f|)ds$$

where $q_t(s) = \frac{2\exp\left(-\frac{s^2}{4t}\right)}{d_k(4t)^{\lambda+1}\Gamma(\lambda+1)}$. Let $r > 0$ such that supp $f \subset B(0,r)$ and let $c := \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^d} |f(y)|$. Since

$$\text{supp } \sigma^k_{x,s} \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0, |s-|x||),$$

then $M_{x,s}(|f|) = 0$ whenever $s > |x| + r$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tau_{-x} q_t(y)|f(y)|w_k(y)dy &= d_k \int_0^{r+|x|} q_t(s)s^{2\lambda+1} M_{x,s}(|f|)ds \\ &\leq d_k c \int_0^{r+|x|} q_t(s)s^{2\lambda+1}ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tau_{-x} q_t(y)|f(y)|w_k(y)dydt &\leq d_k c \int_0^{r+|x|} \left(\int_0^\infty q_t(s)dt\right) s^{2\lambda+1}ds \\ &\leq \frac{c(r+|x|)^2}{4\lambda} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we apply Fubini-Tonelli theorem to get (3.4). Now we turn to prove the continuity of $G^k f$. The function $P^k_t f$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Further, by (3.5), for every $R > 0$ and $x \in B(0,R)$

$$|P^k_t f(x)| \leq d_k c \int_0^{r+R} q_t(s)s^{2\lambda+1}ds =: h(t).$$

By direct computation we see that

$$\int_0^\infty h(t)dt = \frac{c(r+R)^2}{4\lambda} < \infty.$$

Thus, by Lebesgue theorem, $G^k f$ is continuous on $B(0,R)$ and then on $\mathbb{R}^d$, since R is arbitrary. Finally, by (3.2), for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $|x| \geq 2r$,

$$|G^k(x,y)| \leq \frac{1}{2d_k\lambda(|x|-|y|)^{2\lambda}} \leq \frac{1}{2d_k\lambda}(2r-|y|)^{2\lambda}.$$

Thus $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} G^k(x,y) = 0$ which leads by (3.4) to

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} G^k f(x) = 0.$$

□

Theorem 3.4. *Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with compact support. For every $\varphi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G^k f(x)\Delta_k\varphi(x)w_k(x)dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\varphi(x)w_k(x)dx.$$

Proof. Let

$$g^k(y) := \int_0^\infty q_t(y)dt = \frac{1}{2d_k\lambda|y|^{2\lambda}}.$$

It was shown in [9, Theorem 1.4.4] that for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^k(y)\Delta_k\varphi(y)w_k(y)dy = -\varphi(0).$$

This leads to

$$G^k(\Delta_k\varphi)(y) = -\varphi(y) \tag{3.6}$$

Indeed, using (2.5) and the fact that $\Delta_k\tau_z = \tau_z\Delta_k$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} G^k(\Delta_k\varphi)(y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G^k(x,y)\Delta_k\varphi(x)w_k(x)dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_0^\infty \tau_{-y}q_t(x)\Delta_k\varphi(x)w_k(x)dtdx \\ &= \int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} q_t(x)\Delta_k\tau_y\varphi(x)w_k(x)dxdt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^k(x)\Delta_k\tau_y\varphi(x)w_k(x)dx \\ &= -\tau_y\varphi(0) = -\varphi(y). \end{aligned}$$

Whence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G^k f(x)\Delta_k\varphi(x)w_k(x)dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G^k(x,y)\Delta_k\varphi(x)w_k(x)dx\right) f(y)w_k(y)dy \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y)\varphi(y)w_k(y)dy. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.5. *Let V be a W-invariant open set and f be a Borel bounded function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with compact support such that $f = 0$ on V. Then*

$$M_{x,t}(G^k f) = G^k f(x), \quad \text{for every } B(x,t) \Subset V. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. In virtue of the above theorem

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G^k f(x)\Delta_k\varphi(x)w_k(x)dx = 0, \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(V).$$

Hence, by the hypoellipticity of Δ_k it follows that $G^k f$ is infinitely differentiable on V which yields by (2.2) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_k G^k f(x)\varphi(x)w_k(x)dx = 0, \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(V).$$

This means that $G^k f$ is Δ_k-harmonic on V. Finally use Proposition 2.1 to conclude. □

Proposition 3.6. *Let $y, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t > 0$ and denote $G_y^k := G^k(\cdot, y)$. Then*

$$M_{x,t}(G_y^k) \le G^k(x,y).$$

Moreover, if $B(x,t) \Subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus O(y)$, where

$$O(y) := \{wy : w \in W\},$$

then $M_{x,t}(G_y^k) = G^k(x,y)$.

Proof. To abbreviate the notation we denote

$$v_{x,y}(\xi) := \sqrt{|x|^2 + |y|^2 - 2\langle x, \xi\rangle}.$$

Using (3.3) we see that

$$G^k(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\lambda d_k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_{x,y}(\xi)^{-2\lambda} d\mu_y^k(\xi).$$

On the other hand, it was shown (see Proof of Theorem 3.1 in [12]) that for every $s > 0$,

$$M_{x,t}(p_s^k(\cdot, y)) = c_\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_0^\infty j_\lambda(r v_{x,y}(\xi)) j_\lambda(rt) e^{-sr^2} r^{2\lambda+1} dr d\mu_y^k(\xi), \tag{3.8}$$

where $c_\lambda = \frac{1}{d_k 4^\lambda (\Gamma(\lambda+1))^2}$. We integrate (3.8) over $\{0 < s < \infty\}$ to obtain,

$$M_{x,t}(G_y^k) = c_\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_0^\infty j_\lambda(r v_{x,y}(\xi)) j_\lambda(rt) r^{2\lambda-1} dr d\mu_y^k(\xi).$$

Using formula 11.4.33 in [1] we obtain

$$M_{x,t}(G_y^k) \quad = \quad \frac{1}{2\lambda d_k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\max(t, v_{x,y}(\xi))^{-2\lambda} d\mu_y^k(\xi).$$

Hence

$$M_{x,t}(G_y^k) \le \frac{1}{2\lambda d_k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_{x,y}(\xi)^{-2\lambda} d\mu_y^k(\xi) = G^k(x,y).$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that if $B(x,t) \Subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus O(y)$ then $|x - wy| > t$ for every $w \in W$ and so

$$v_{x,y}(\xi) > t \text{ for every } \xi \in C(y).$$

Consequently, for every $B(x,t) \Subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus O(y)$

$$M_{x,t}(G_y^k) \quad = \quad \frac{1}{2\lambda d_k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (v_{x,y}(\xi))^{-2\lambda} d\mu_y^k(\xi) = G^k(x,y).$$

□

4. Minimum principle

Lemma 4.1. *The set* $\{G^k\varphi, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$ *is linearly separating. That is, for all* $\lambda > 0$ *and all* $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *such that* $x \neq y$, *there exists* $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *such that* $G^k\varphi(x) \neq \lambda G^k\varphi(y)$.

Proof. Let $\lambda > 0$ and $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $x_1 \neq x_2$. Let $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a non negative function such that $f(x_1) \neq \lambda f(x_2)$. Since $lim_{t\to 0} P_t^k f = f$ then there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$P_s^k f(x) \neq \lambda P_s^k f(y) \quad \text{ for all } 0 < s < t_0.$$

Moreover, it follows from (3.1) that for every $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$G^k f(z) = G^k P_{t_0}^k f(z) + \int_0^{t_0} P_s^k f(z) ds. \tag{4.1}$$

By Proposition 3.3 we see that $G^k f$ is finite on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Whence, (4.1) yields that either $G^k f(x) \neq \lambda G^k f(y)$ or $G^k P^k_{t_0} f(x) \neq \lambda G^k P^k_{t_0} f(y)$. □

The following lemma follows from (3.4) and Proposition 3.6.

Lemma 4.2. *Let φ be a non negative Borel function on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then*

$$M_{x,t}(G^k\varphi) \leq G^k\varphi(x), \quad \text{for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } t > 0.$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let Ω be a W-invariant bounded open set and let f be a lower semi-continuous function on Ω. Assume that:*

(a) *For every $z \in \partial\Omega$, $\liminf_{x\to z} f(x) \geq 0$.*
(b) *For every $x \in \Omega$ and $t > 0$ such that $B(x,t) \Subset \Omega$,*

$$M_{x,t}(f) = \int_\Omega f(y) d\sigma^k_{x,t}(y) \leq f(x).$$

Then $f \geq 0$ on Ω.

Proof. We extend f to a lower semi-continuous function u on $\overline{\Omega}$ by setting $u = f$ on Ω and $u(z) = \liminf_{x\to z} f(x)$ for every $z \in \partial\Omega$. Thus $u \geq 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Let $\alpha = \inf_{x\in\overline{\Omega}} u(x)$ and

$$K = \{x \in \overline{\Omega} \quad \text{such that } u(x) = \alpha\}.$$

The set K is not empty because u is lower semi-continuous on the compact set $\overline{\Omega}$. If $K \cap \partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$ then $\alpha \geq 0$ and so for every $x \in \Omega$, $f(x) = u(x) \geq \alpha \geq 0$. Suppose now that $K \cap \partial\Omega = \emptyset$. Then

$$K = \{x \in \Omega \quad \text{such that } f(x) = \alpha\}.$$

Thus, for every $x \in K$ there exists $t > 0$ such that $B(x,t) \Subset \Omega$ and

$$\alpha = \int_\Omega \alpha d\sigma^k_{x,t}(y) \leq \int_\Omega f(y) d\sigma^k_{x,t}(y) \leq f(x) = \alpha.$$

This means that $\int_\Omega (f(y) - \alpha) d\sigma^k_{x,t}(y) = 0$ and consequently

$$\sigma^k_{x,t}(K) = 1.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of all non empty compact subsets A of $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that for every $x \in A$ there exists $t > 0$ such that $\sigma^k_{x,t}(A) = 1$. Clearly $K \in \mathcal{A}$ and the set $\mathcal{A}$ is inductively ordered by the converse inclusion relation. Hence, by Zorn's lemma, there exists a minimal set $M \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $K \supset M$. The set M contains more than one point because $\sigma^k_{x,t} \neq \delta_x$ (see (2.3))and $\sigma^k_{x,t}(M) = 1$ for some $x \in M$ and $t > 0$. Then by Lemma 4.1 there exists a Borel function $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that the restriction of $G^k\varphi$ on M is non constant. Let us consider the set

$$M' = \{x \in M \text{ such that } G^k\varphi(x) = \beta\},$$

where $\beta = \inf_{x\in M} G^k\varphi(x)$. Then M' is a non empty compact set (since the function $G^k\varphi$ is lower semi continuous on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by Fatou's Lemma) and $M \supsetneq M'$. Furthermore, let $x \in M'$ and $t > 0$ such that $\overline{B}(x,t) \subset \Omega$. Then

$$\beta = \int_M \beta d\sigma^k_{x,t}(y) \leq \int_M G^k\varphi(y)d\sigma^k_{x,t}(y) \leq \int_\Omega G^k\varphi(y)d\sigma^k_{x,t}(y).$$

We then deduce, in virtue of Lemma 4.2, that

$$\beta \leq \int_\Omega G^k\varphi(y)d\sigma^k_{x,t}(y) \leq G^k\varphi(x) \leq \beta$$

which implies that $\int_\Omega (G^k\varphi(y) - \beta)d\sigma^k_{x,t}(y) = 0$ and then $\sigma^k_{x,t}(M') = 1$. Consequently, $M' \in \mathcal{A}$ contradicting the minimality of M.

□

5. Excessive functions and Balayage space

A function $f \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is said to be excessive if $\sup_{t>0} P^k_t f = f$. The set of all excessive functions will be denoted by E_{Δ_k}. Obviously, the constant function 1 belongs to E_{Δ_k}. Notice that if f is a Borel non negative function such that $P^k_t f \leq f$ for every $t > 0$ then

$$P^k_t f = P^k_s P^k_{t-s} f \leq P^k_s f \quad \text{for every } 0 < s < t$$

which means that the map $t \mapsto P^k_t f$ is decreasing on $]0,\infty[$. This yields that

$$E_{\Delta_k} = \{f \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathbb{R}^d) : P^k_t f \leq f \text{ for every } t > 0 \text{ and } \lim_{t\to 0} P^k_t f = f\}.$$

Then, for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the function $G^k(\cdot, y)$ is excessive. Moreover, it follows from (3.1) that for every non negative Borel function f on $\mathbb{R}^d$, $G^k f$ is also excessive.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $u \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then u is excessive if and only if there exists a sequence $(f_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{B}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $(G^k f_n)_n$ increase to u.*

Proof. Assume that there exists a non negative sequence $(f_n)_n$ such that $(G^k f_n)_n$ increases to u. Then the fact that for every n the function $G^k f_n$ is excessive yields, in view of the monotone convergence theorem, that u is also excessive. Conversely, assume that u is excessive. Let $v \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $0 < G^k v < \infty$ (by Proposition 3.3 such function may exist). For every $n \geq 1$, we define

$$u_n = \min\{u, n, nG^k v\} \quad \text{and } f_n = n(u_n - P_{\frac{1}{n}} u_n).$$

Of course, the sequence $(u_n)_n$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and increases to u. Thus for every $m \geq 1$, $(P_{\frac{1}{m}} u_n)_n$ is an increasing sequence in $\mathcal{B}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Further, u, n and $G^k v$ are excessive. So $(u_n)_n \subset E_{\Delta_k}$ and hence for every $n \geq 1$

$$P^k_s u_n = P^k_t P^k_{s-t} u_n \leq P^k_t u_n \quad \text{for every } 0 < t < s. \tag{5.1}$$

This means that for every $n \geq 1$, $(P_{\frac{1}{m}} u_n)_m$ is an increasing sequence and then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P^k_{\frac{1}{n}} u_n = \lim_{m\to\infty} \lim_{n\to\infty} P^k_{\frac{1}{m}} u_n = \lim_{m\to\infty} P^k_{\frac{1}{m}} u = u.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} P^k_s u_n ds = u \tag{5.2}$$

because, by (5.1),

$$P^k_{\frac{1}{n}} u_n \leq n \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} P^k_s u_n ds \leq u_n.$$

Obviously, the proof is finished once we have shown that $G^k f_n = n \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} P^k_s u_n ds$. Let $t > 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t P^k_s f_n ds &= n \left(\int_0^t P^k_s u_n ds - \int_0^t P^k_{s+\frac{1}{n}} u_n ds \right) \\ &= n \left(\int_0^t P^k_s u_n ds - \int_0^{t+\frac{1}{n}} P^k_s u_n ds \right) + n \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} P^k_s u_n ds \\ &= -n \int_t^{t+\frac{1}{n}} P^k_s u_n ds + n \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} P^k_s u_n ds. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

By (5.1), $n \int_t^{t+\frac{1}{n}} P^k_s u_n ds \leq P^k_t u_n \leq n P^k_t G^k v$ which tends to 0 when t tends to ∞ (see Proposition 3.1). Whence, by letting t tends to infinity in (5.3), we obtain $G^k f_n(x) = n \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} P^k_s u_n ds$ and the proof is finished.

□

Remark 5.2. In virtue of Fatou's lemma, for every $f \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the function $G^k f$ is lower semi continuous on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Hence, an immediate consequence of the above proposition is that every excessive function u is lower semi-continuous on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and by Lemma 4.2, it satisfies

$$M_{x,t}(u) \leq u \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Theorem 5.3. *The couple $(\mathbb{R}^d, E_{\Delta_k})$ is a balayage space.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition5.1 and Lemma 4.1 that E_{Δ_k} is linearly separating. Then in view of [2, V.2.4] the proof will be finished once we have shown that there exist positive functions $u, v \in E_{\Delta_k} \cap C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\frac{u}{v} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. To that end, let $v := 1$ and $u := \min(G^k(\cdot, 0), 1)$ which are obviously excessive. It is easy to check from (3.3) that

$$G^k(\cdot, 0) = \frac{1}{2d_k \lambda |\cdot|^{2\lambda}}.$$

Thus $u, v \in E_{\Delta_k} \cap C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\frac{u}{v} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. □

6. Harmonic measures

For every excessive function u and every open set V let

$$H_V u(x) = \inf\{v(x) : v \in E_{\Delta_k}, v \geq u \text{ on } V^c\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{6.1}$$

Obviously $H_V u(x) = u(x)$ if $x \in V^c$. In virtue of the general theory of balayage spaces, Theorem 5.3 yields that for each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $V \Subset \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a unique probability measure $H_V(x, \cdot)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which is supported by V^c such that for every excessive function u

$$H_V u(x) = \int_{V^c} u(z) H_V(x, dz).$$

It is clear that if $x \in V^c$ then $H_U(x, \cdot)$ is the Dirac measure concentrated at x. In the sequel, we denote

$$H_V f(x) = \int_{V^c} f(z) H_V(x, dz)$$

when the integral makes sense. Obviously

$$H_U f = f \quad \text{ on } U^c. \tag{6.2}$$

In the following we collect some useful properties of H_V (see [2, Chapter III] for more details).

Proposition 6.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a Borel function and V be a bounded open set.*

(1) The function f is excessive if and only if f is lower semi-continuous and for every bounded open set U

$$H_U f \leq f \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d.$$

(2) If f is bounded and with compact support on $\mathbb{R}^d$ then $H_V f \in C(V)$.
(3)

$$H_U H_V f = H_V f \quad \text{for every } U \Subset V. \tag{6.3}$$

In this section we shall prove that for every bounded W-invariant open set V and every $x \in V$ the harmonic measure $H_V(x, \cdot)$ is supported by ∂V.

Lemma 6.2. *Let V be a bounded W-invariant open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and let u be an excessive function locally bounded on V satisfying $M_{x,t}(u) = u(x)$ for every $x \in V$ and $t > 0$ such that $B(x, t) \Subset V$. Then*

$$H_U u = u \quad \text{for every } U \Subset V.$$

Proof. Let $U \Subset V$. Recall from (6.2) and the first statement of Proposition 6.1 that $H_U u = u$ on U^c and that $H_U u \leq u$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$. So, in order to get equality on $\mathbb{R}^d$, we need to prove that $u \leq H_U u$ on U. In virtue of (6.1), it suffices to show that $u \leq v$ on U for every $v \in E_{\Delta_k}$ satisfying $v \geq u$ on U^c. Let v be a such function and consider $w = v - u$. Since v is lower semi

continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ (Remark 5.2) and u is continuous on V (Proposition 2.1) we deduce that w is lower semi-continuous on U and that for every $z \in \partial U$

$$\liminf_{x \to z} w(x) = v(z) - u(z) \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, using (5.4), we obtain that for every $x \in U$ and $t > 0$ such that $B(x,t) \Subset U$,

$$M_{x,t}(w) = M_{x,t}(v) - M_{x,t}(u) \leq v(x) - u(x) = w(x).$$

Assume first that U is W-invariant then by Proposition 4.3, $w \geq 0$ on U and consequently $v \geq u$ on U which implies that $H_U u \geq u$ on U. Whence $H_U u = u$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Now we turn to the general case where U is arbitrary . Let A be a W-invariant open set such that $U \Subset A \Subset V$. By the preceding part, $H_A u = u$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Whence, using (6.3) we obtain

$$H_U u = H_U H_A u = H_A u = u \quad \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d.$$

□

It follows from (3.2) that for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the function $G^k(\cdot, y)$ is locally bounded on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus O(y)$, where $O(y)$ denotes the orbit of y with respect to the group W, i.e.,

$$O(y) := \{wy : w \in W\}.$$

Thus, the above lemma as well as Proposition 3.6 yield that for a fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

(6.4)
$$\int_{U^c} G^k(\xi, y) H_U(x, d\xi) = G^k(x,y) \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } U \Subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus O(y).$$

Lemma 6.3. *Let V be a W-invariant bounded open set and $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\Delta_k \varphi = 0$ on V. Then $H_U \varphi = \varphi$ for every $U \Subset V$.*

Proof. Using (3.6), we write

$$\varphi = -G^k(\Delta_k \varphi) = G^k h^- - G^k h^+$$

where $h^- = \max(0, -\Delta_k \varphi)$ and $h^+ = \max(0, \Delta_k \varphi)$. Clearly, $G^k h^-$ and $G^k h^+$ are excessive (Proposition 5.1) and $h^+ = h^- = 0$ on V. Hence, in view of (3.7), for every $y \in V$ and $t > 0$ such that $B(y,t) \Subset V$,

$$M_{y,t}(G^k h^-) = G^k h^-(y) \quad \text{and} \quad M_{y,t}(G^k h^+) = G^k h^+(y).$$

This leads, by Lemma 6.2, to

$$H_U(G^k h^-) = G^k h^- \quad \text{and} \quad H_U(G^k h^+) = G^k h^+$$

and so $H_U \varphi = \varphi$ for every $U \Subset V$.

For an open subset U of $\mathbb{R}^d$ it will be convenient to denote by ${}^W U$ the smallest W-invariant open set containing U, i.e.

$${}^W U := \bigcup_{w \in W} w(U).$$

Of course, if $U \Subset A$ for some W-invariant open set A then $\overline{{}^{W}U} \subset A$.

Proposition 6.4. *Let U be a bounded open set. For every $x \in U$*

$$\textit{supp } H_U(x,\cdot) \subset \overline{{}^{W}U} \setminus U,$$

In particular, if U is W-invariant then for every $x \in U$,

$$\textit{supp } H_U(x,\cdot) \subset \partial U.$$

Proof. For every $n \geq 1$ we define

$$U_n = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \inf_{x \in {}^{W}U} |x-y| < \frac{1}{n}\}.$$

Obviously, U_n is a W-invariant open set, ${}^{W}U \Subset U_n$ and $U \Subset U_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Furthermore $\overline{{}^{W}U} = \cap_{n\geq 1} U_n$. Let $n \geq 1$ and $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\varphi = 0$ on U_n. Then by the above lemma, $H_U\varphi = \varphi = 0$ on U. That is for every $x \in U$

$$\int_{U^c} \varphi(y) H_U(x,dy) = 0,$$

which means that supp $H_U(x,\cdot) \subset \overline{U}_n$ and consequently supp $H_U(x,\cdot) \subset \overline{{}^{W}U}$ (because $\overline{{}^{W}U} = \cap_{n\geq 1} U_n$.). Finally recall that the support of $H_U(x,\cdot)$ is supported by U^c to conclude. □

Corollary 6.5. *Let U be a bounded open set. Then $H_U f \in C(U)$ provided $f \in \mathcal{B}_b({}^{W}U \setminus U)$. In particular, if U is W-invariant then*

$$H_U f \in C(U) \quad \textit{for every } f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\partial U). \tag{6.5}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_b({}^{W}U \setminus U)$. We may extend f to $\tilde{f}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by setting $\tilde{f} = f$ on ${}^{W}U \setminus U$ and $\tilde{f} = 0$ otherwise. So $\tilde{f}$ is a Borel Bounded function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with compact support. Moreover using the above theorem we see that $H_U f = H_U \tilde{f}$ which is continuous on U by the second statement of Proposition 6.1. □

□

7. Dirichlet problem

In all this section V denotes a W-invariant bounded open set. A sequence $(x_n)_n \subset V$ converging to a point $z \in \partial V$ is said regular with respect to V provided

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} H_V f(x_n) = f(z), \quad \text{for every } f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

A point $z \in \partial V$ is called regular if every sequence $(x_n)_n$ on V converging to z is regular. The open set V is called regular if every $z \in \partial V$ is regular.

This section is devoted to prove that for every continuous function $f$on V, f is Δ_k-harmonic on V if and only if $H_U f = f$ for every $U \Subset V$.

Furthermore, assuming that V is regular we shall show that the function $H_V f$ is the unique solution $u \in C^2(V) \cap C(\overline{V})$ to the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_k u &= 0 \quad \text{on } V, \\ u &= f \quad \text{on } \partial V. \end{cases}$$

It will be commode to denote G_y^k for the Green function $G^k(\cdot, y)$. For every $n \geq 1$ let

$$V_n = \{z \in V : B(z, \frac{1}{n}) \Subset V\}. \tag{7.1}$$

It is clear that $(V_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is an increasing sequence of W-invariant open sets satisfying $V_n \Subset V_{n+1} \Subset V$ for every $n \geq 1$ and $V = \bigcup_{n\geq 1} V_n$.

Proposition 7.1. *Let f be a continuous function on V. If f is Δ_k-harmonic on V then $H_U f = f$ for every $U \Subset V$.*

Proof. Assume that f is Δ_k-harmonic on V. It follows from the hypoellipticity of the operator Δ_k that $f \in C^\infty(V)$. Let $U \Subset V$. Let $n_0 \geq 1$ such that $U \Subset V_{n_0}$ and consider $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\varphi = f$ on V_{n_0}. Then $\Delta_k \varphi = 0$ on V_{n_0} and so, in view of Lemma 6.3, $H_U\varphi = \varphi$. On the other hand, $H_U\varphi = H_U f$ on U since V_{n_0} contains the support of the measure $H_U(x, \cdot)$ for every $x \in U$ (see Proposition 6.4). Whence $H_U f = \varphi = f$ on U. The equality on U^c follows from (6.2). □

Lemma 7.2. *For each $y \in V$, the function $u := \lim_{n\to\infty} H_{V_n} G_y^k$ belongs to $C_b(V)$ and satisfies*

$$H_U u = u \quad \text{for every } U \Subset V.$$

Proof. Let $n_0 \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B(y, \varepsilon) \Subset V_{n_0}$ and so ${}^W B(y, \varepsilon) \Subset V_{n_0}$. Let $n > n_0$ and let $x \in V_n$. Then for every $\xi \in \partial V_n$, $|\xi - wy| > \varepsilon$ for all $w \in W$ which implies in virtue of (3.2) that

$$H_{V_n} G_y^k(x) = \int_{\partial V_n} G^k(y, \xi) H_{V_n}(x, d\xi) \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{-2\lambda}}{2d_k\lambda}.$$

So by letting n tends to infinity we easily see that u is bounded on V. Let now $U \Subset V$, then there exists $n_1 \geq 1$ such that $U \Subset V_n$ for every $n \geq n_1$. Therefore, by (6.3), for every $x \in U$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{V_{n_1}} G_y^k(x) - H_U u(x) &= H_U(H_{V_{n_1}} G_y^k - u)(x) \\ &= \int_{U^c} \lim_{n\to\infty} (H_{V_{n_1}} G_y^k - H_{V_n} G_y^k)(\xi) H_U(x, d\xi). \end{aligned}$$

The sequence $(H_{V_n} G_y^k)_{n\geq n_1}$ is decreasing. Indeed, Since G_y^k is excessive it follows from Proposition 6.1 that $H_{V_{n+1}} G_y^k \leq G_y^k$. Applying H_{V_n} and using (6.3), we get $H_{V_{n+1}} G_y^k \leq H_{V_n} G_y^k$ for every $n \geq n_1$. Whence $(H_{V_{n_1}} G_y^k -$

$H_{V_n}G_y^k)_{n\geq n_1}$ is a non negative increasing sequence. Then by the monotone convergence theorem

$$\begin{aligned} H_{V_{n_1}}G_y^k(x) - H_U u(x) &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{U^c}(H_{V_{n_1}}G_y^k - H_{V_n}G_y^k)(\xi)H_U(x,d\xi) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} H_U(H_{V_{n_1}}G_y^k - H_{V_n}G_y^k)(x) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}(H_{V_{n_1}}G_y^k - H_{V_n}G_y^k)(x) \\ &= H_{V_{n_1}}G_y^k(x) - u(x). \end{aligned}$$

This means that $H_U u(x) = u(x)$. Hence $H_U u = u$ on U and then on $\mathbb{R}^d$ (using 6.2). In particular for every $n \geq 1$, $H_{V_n}u = u$ on V_n. Since $H_{V_n}u$ is continuous on V_n by Corollary 6.5, it follows that u is continuous on V_n for every n and then u is continuous on V. □

Lemma 7.3. *Let $y \in V$ and $(V_n)_n$ be as in (7.1). Then*

$$H_V G_y^k = \lim_{n\to\infty} H_{V_n}G_y^k.$$

Proof. Let us denote $u = \lim_{n\to\infty} H_{V_n}G_y^k$. Since G_y^k is excessive it follows from Proposition 6.1 that $H_V G_y^k \leq G_y^k$. Applying H_{V_n} and using (6.3), we get $H_V G_y^k \leq H_{V_n}G_y^k$ for every $n \geq n_0$. Let n tends to infinity to obtain

$$H_V G_y^k \leq u. \tag{7.2}$$

To prove the converse equality, we denote $v = H_V G_y^k - u$ and we intend to show that $v \geq 0$ on V. Using a general minimum principle of balayage spaces (see [2, III.4.3]) it will be sufficient to show that v is lower semi continuous on V, $v \geq 0$ on V^c, $\inf v(V) > -\infty$, $H_U v \leq v$ for every $U \Subset V$ and that $\liminf_{n\to\infty} v(x_n) \geq 0$ for every regular sequence $(x_m)_m$ on V.
In view of the above lemma, it is clear that v is continuous on V, $\inf v(V) > -\infty$ and $H_U v \leq v$ for every $U \Subset V$. Moreover, for every $n \geq 1$, $H_V G_y^k = G_y^k = H_{V_n}G_y^k$ on V^c. This yields that $v = 0$ on V^c. Finally, let $(x_m)_m$ be a regular sequence on V converging to $z \in \partial V$. Let $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $f = G_y^k$ on ∂V. By Proposition 6.4, $H_V G_y^k = H_V f$ on V and then

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} H_V G_y^k(x_m) = \lim_{m\to\infty} H_V f(x_m) = f(z) = G_y^k(z).$$

Furthermore,

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} u(x_m) \leq \lim_{m\to\infty} G_y^k(x_m) = G_y^k(z).$$

Whence $\liminf_{n\to\infty} v(x_n) \geq 0$. This implies that $v \geq 0$ and finishes the proof. □

Lemma 7.4. *For every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \partial V$*

$$H_V G_y^k(x) = H_V G_x^k(y). \tag{7.3}$$

In particular if $y \in \overline{V}^c$, then $H_V G_y^k = G_y^k$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \partial V$. If $y \in \overline{V}^c$, then $H_V G_x^k(y) = G^k(x,y)$. Hence, it follows from (6.4) that $H_V G_x^k(y) = H_V G_y^k(x)$. Now, assume that $y \in V$. Let $(V_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be as in (7.1). Let us consider the function u defined for every $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by $u(\eta) := H_V G_\eta^k(y)$. Then for every $n \geq 1$ and every $\eta \in V_n$,

$$H_{V_n} u(\eta) = \int_{\partial V_n} \int_{\partial V} G^k(\xi, z) H_V(y, d\xi) H_{V_n}(\eta, dz) = \int_{\partial V} H_{V_n} G_\xi^k(\eta) H_V(y, d\xi).$$

By (6.4), for every $\xi \in \partial V$, $H_{V_n} G_\xi^k = G_\xi^k$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Consequently, $H_{V_n} u = u$ on V_n and then, using (6.2)

$$H_{V_n} u = u \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{7.4}$$

Since G_y^k is excessive we then deduce from Proposition 6.1 that $u \leq G_y^k$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which implies that for every $n \geq 1$, $H_{V_n} u \leq H_{V_n} G_y^k$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then, using (7.4), we get $u \leq H_{V_n} G_y^k$. Letting n tends to ∞ we obtain by the above lemma $u \leq H_V G_y^k$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$. In particular,

$$u(x) = H_V G_x^k(y) \leq H_V G_y^k(x).$$

Finally, interchange x and y to derive equality. □

Proposition 7.5. *For every continuous function $f \in \partial V$ the function $H_V f$ is Δ_k-harmonic on V.*

Proof. Let $x \in V$ and $t > 0$ such that $B(x,t) \Subset V$. In virtue of proposition 2.1 it suffices to prove that $M_{x,t}(H_V f) = H_V f(x)$. First we claim that

$$M_{x,t}(H_V G_y^k) = H_V G_y^k(x) \quad \text{for every } y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \partial V. \tag{7.5}$$

Indeed, if $y \in \overline{V}^c$ then by (6.4), $H_V G_y^k = G_y^k$ and so, in view of Proposition 3.6, we get $M_{x,t}(H_V G_y^k) = H_V G_y^k(x)$. Assume now that $y \in V$. Then, in view of (7.3),

$$\begin{aligned} M_{x,t}(H_V G_y^k) = \int_V H_V G_y^k(z) d\sigma_{x,t}^k(z) &= \int_V H_V G_z^k(y) d\sigma_{x,t}^k(z) \\ &= \int_{\partial V} \int_V G^k(\xi, z) d\sigma_{x,t}^k(z) H_V(y, d\xi) \\ &= \int_{\partial V} M_{x,t}(G_\xi^k) H_D(y, d\xi). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.6, for every $\xi \in \partial V$, $M_{x,t}(G_\xi^k) = G_\xi^k(x)$. This leads to $M_{x,t}(H_V G_y^k) = H_V G_y^k(x)$ and proves the claim. An immediate consequence of (7.5) together (3.4) is that for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$M_{x,t}(H_V(G^k \varphi)) = H_V(G^k \varphi)(x). \tag{7.6}$$

Let $(\varphi_n)_n \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a sequence converging to f on ∂V. Using (3.6) we write $\varphi_n = G^k(-\Delta_k \varphi_n)$ for every n and then it follows from (7.6) that

$$M_{x,t}(H_V(\varphi_n)) = H_V(\varphi_n)(x).$$

Finally, let n tends to infinity to finish the proof. □

The following result is an immediate consequence of the above proposition and Proposition 7.1.

Corollary 7.6. *Let $f \in C(V)$. Then $H_U f = f$ for every $U \Subset V$ if and only if f is Δ_k-harmonic on V.*

Theorem 7.7. *Assume that V is regular. Then for every $f \in C(\partial V)$, the function $H_V f$ is the unique solution $u \in C^2(V) \cap C(\overline{V})$ to the Dirichlet problem*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_k u = 0 & \text{on } V, \\ u = f & \text{on } \partial V. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The function $H_V f$ is Δ_k-harmonic on V by the above corollary. Moreover, $H_V f = f$ on ∂V and $H_V f$ is continuous on ∂V since V is regular. To prove the uniqueness, let $u, v \in C^2(V) \cap C(\overline{V})$ be two solutions to the Dirichlet problem. Then the function $h := u - v$ satisfies $h \in C^2(V) \cap C(\overline{V})$, $\Delta_k h = 0$ on V and $h = 0$ on ∂V. Hence for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and every $t > 0$ such that $\overline{B}(x,t) \subset V$, $M_{x,t}(h) = h(x)$ by Proposition 2.1. Applying Proposition 4.3 to h and $-h$, we get $h = 0$ on V. This finishes the proof. □

References

[1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, Handbook mathematical functions, Verlag Harri Deutsch. Frankfurt/Main. (1984)

[2] J. Bliedtner and W.Hansen, Potentiel theory. An analytic and probabilistic approach to balayage, Springer (1986)

[3] O. Chybiryakov. Processus de Dunkl et relation de Lamperti. PhD Thesis, University Paris 6, (2006)

[4] C.F. Dunkl, *Differential-difference operators associated to reflection groups.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, 311, 167-183 (1989)

[5] C.F. Dunkl,*Integrals kernels with reflection group invariance*, Canad. J. Math. 43, 1213-1227 (1991)

[6] L. Gallardo and L.Godefroy, *Propriété de Liouville et équation de Poisson pour le Laplacien généralisé de Dunkl.* C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser.I 337, 639-644 (2003).

[7] L. Gallardo and M. Yor. *A chaotic representation property of the multidimensional Dunkl processes.* Ann. Probab. 34, 1530-1549 (2006)

[8] H. Kods *Mean value property associated with the Dunkl laplacian.* arXiv:1401.1949

[9] H. Mejjaoli and K. Trimèche, *On a mean value property associated with the dunkl Laplacian operator and applications.* Integral transform. Spec. Funct. 12, 279-302 (2001)

[10] H. Mejjaoli and K. Trimèche, *Hypoellipticity and hypoanalyticity of the Dunkl Laplacian operator.* Integral transform. Spec. Funct. 15, 523-548 (2004)

[11] M. Rösler,*Positivity of Dunkl's intertwining operator.* Duke Math. J. 98, 445-463 (1999).

[12] M. Rösler, *Generalised Hermite polynomials and heat equation for Dunkl operators.* Comm. Math. Phys, 192 , 519-542 (1998)

[13] M. Rösler, *A positive radial product formula for Dunkl kernel.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, 355, 2413-2438 (2003)

[14] M. Rösler and M. Voit, *Markov processes related with Dunkl operators.* Advances in Applied Mathematics, 21, 575-643 (1998)

[15] S.Thangavelu and Y.Xu, *Convolution operator and maximal function for Dunkl transform.* J. Anal. Math, 97 25-55 (2005)

[16] K. Trimèche, *The Dunkl intertwining operator on spaces of functions and distributions and integral representation of its dual.* Integral Transforms and Special Functions, 12, 349-374 (2001).

[17] K. Trimèche, *Paley-Wiener theorems for the Dunkl transform and Dunkl translation operators.* Integral Transforms Spec. Funct, 13, 17-38 (2002).

[18] Y. Xu, *Orthogonal polynomials for a family of product weight functions on the spheres.* Canad. J. Math., 49, 175-192 (1997).

(Kods Hassine) Faculty of sciences, Monastir university, Tunisia
E-mail address: hassinekods@gmail.com